

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 DON C. SANDERS,

No. 1:20-cv-00222-AWI-SKO (PC)

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING  
ACTION WITHOUT PREJUDICE

13 v.

(Doc. 15)

14 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS, et al.,

15 Defendants.

16  
17 Plaintiff Don C. Sanders is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in  
18 this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This matter was referred to a United States  
19 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On June 16, 2020, the assigned magistrate judge issued an order to show cause, within 21  
21 days, why this action should not be dismissed for failure to exhaust administrative remedies.  
22 (Doc. 14.) The judge found that “[i]t is clear on the face of his complaint that Plaintiff failed to  
23 exhaust ... prior to filing suit.” (*Id.* at 2.) Plaintiff failed to respond to the order to show cause.

24 Therefore, on July 27, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,  
25 recommending that this action be dismissed without prejudice for Plaintiff’s failure to exhaust  
26 administrative remedies. (Doc. 15.) The magistrate judge provided Plaintiff 14 days to file  
27 objections to the findings and recommendations. (*Id.* at 2-3.) Plaintiff has not filed any objections  
28 and the time to do so has passed.

1        In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
2 *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings  
3 and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

4        Accordingly, the Court ORDERS:

- 5        1. The findings and recommendations filed on July 27, 2020 (Doc. 15) are  
6              ADOPTED in full;
- 7        2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to exhaust administrative  
8              remedies; and,
- 9        3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

10      IT IS SO ORDERED.

11      Dated: September 9, 2020



---

Shublji

12              SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28